

Saturday Morning November 6th 1875

Precinct.

The Honorable Geo. Clark, Judge

Plaintiffs

against

Defendants

Plaintiffs

} vs. Defendants

Defendants

This day this cause came on to be further heard on the papers formerly read and the report of Commissioner Taylor, filed during this term, to which no exception is filed and was argued by counsel. And consideration whereof the Court adjudge and award as the basis of this decree, etc. unjudged, order and decree that D. H. Briggs, Sheriff of Southampton County, after advertising the same for twenty days by notices posted at four or more public places in this County, set to the highest bidder the sum total which of \$2,300 and odd dollars, upon sale following term, one half cash balance and a credit of twelve months, payable to give bonds with sufficient security, carrying interest from day of sale, and title to be retained for purchase money - and said Briggs will forthwith proceed, under this order to Court.

Catchies and Iquit

against

Vaughn et al.

Plaintiffs

} vs. Defendants

Defendants

This day this cause came on to be heard on the papers formerly read and the report of Commissioner Taylor, and by example of parties the Court not deciding any matter before it under said report, etc. adjudge order and decree that it be again referred to Commissioner Taylor, who is hereby directed to take & further account of all the judgments which have been obtained against William Peterson as contained in complements bill, whether in the Circuit or County Court of Southampton, when returned and when docketed, and return particularly any time or charge the date of each William Peterson (which is the name of C. C. Vaughan trustee or other party) whether by attachment or otherwise, if by attachment, then report the date when refered the date when such attachment affected went lie or charged to gather etc. the date of the loss of such attachment and the date of their record along with any matters specially stated therein, particular by himself or which shall be required to be so stated by any party interested.

Judge J. D.

against

Bethel, Newbold, Donote et al.

Plaintiffs

} vs. Defendants

Defendants

This cause came on this day to be argued heard on the papers formerly read and on the report of John J. Bierland, Justice, made under decree of December 2nd 1872 of the County Court of Southampton County, - to which no objection is no exception and was argued by counsel - no consideration whereof the Court did confirm the said report and doth adjudge, order and decree that the proceedings had in this cause be confirmed, and nothing further remaining to be done herein, it is ordered that this cause be removed from the docket.

Porter's A. date

against

Wm. Allen

Plaintiff

} vs. Defendants

Defendants

This day this cause came on to be further heard on the papers formerly read, the report of Commissioner Taylor filed since 25th 1875, with no exception thereto, and was argued by counsel. And consideration whereof, the Court, without deciding any question raised by the said report and exception thereto, doth adjudge and order, orders and decree that D. H. Briggs